arXiv:1304.0187v1 [math-ph] 31 Mar 2013

QUASINEUTRAL LIMIT OF THE EULER-POISSON EQUATION FOR A COLD, ION-ACOUSTIC PLASMA

XUEKE PU, AND BOLING GUO

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the quasineutral limit of the Euler-Poisson equation for a clod, ion-acoustic plasma when the Debye length tends to zero. When the ion-acoustic plasma is cold, the Euler-Poisson equation is pressureless and hence fails to be Friedrich symmetrisable, which excludes the application of the classical energy estimates method. This brings new difficulties in proving uniform estimates independent of ε. The main novelty in this article is to introduce new ε-weighted norms of the unknowns and to combine energy estimates in different levels with weights depending on ε. Finally, that the quasineutral regimes are the incompressible Euler equations is proven for well prepared initial data.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Euler-Poisson equation for a clod, ion-acoustic plasma

$$(EP)\quad \begin{cases} \partial_t n + div(n\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}, & (1.1a)\\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u} = -\nabla\phi, & (1.1b)\\ \varepsilon\Delta\phi = e^{\phi} - n, & (1.1c)\end{cases}$$

where n is the density of the ions, $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \cdots, u_d)$ is the velocity field and ϕ is the electric potential. Here e^{ϕ} is the rescaled electron density by the famous Boltzmann relation and $\varepsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter representing the squared scaled Debye length $\varepsilon = \epsilon_0 k_B T_e / N_0 e^2 L^2$, where ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity, L is the characteristic observation length and T_e is the average temperature of the electrons. For typical plasma applications, the Debye length is very small compared to the characteristic length of physical interest and it is therefore necessary to consider the limiting system when $\varepsilon \to 0$. For more physical background of the Euler-Poisson equation or the ion-acoustic plasma, one may refer to [12, 22].

Formally, when letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain from the third equation in (1.1) that $\phi = \ln n$, and hence the following compressible Euler system

$$(EQ)\quad \begin{cases} \partial_t n + div(n\mathbf{u}) = 0,\\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u} + \nabla \ln n = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

This limit system (1.2) is an hyperbolic symmetrisable system, whose classical result for the existence and uniqueness of sufficiently smooth solutions in small time interval is available in [14]. The system (1.2) have to be supplemented by suitable initial conditions. We shall assume that the plasma is uniform and electrically neutral near infinity, i.e., $n \to n^{\pm}$ and $\mathbf{u} \to 0$ as $x \to \pm\infty$. More precisely, let $\tilde{n}$ be a smooth strictly positive function, constant

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35; 35B25; 35C20.

Key words and phrases. Euler-Poisson equation; Quasineutral limit; Compressible Euler equation.

This work is supported by NSFC (grant 11001285).

outside $x \in [-1,+1]$, going to $n^{\pm}$ as $x \to \infty$. We assume that the initial conditions $(n_0^0, \mathbf{u}_0^0)$ satisfy

$$(n_0^0 - \tilde{n}) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad u_0^0 \in \mathbf{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad n_0^0 \geq \sigma > 0, \tag{1.3}$$

for some $s > 3/2$ and some constant $\sigma > 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(n_0^0, \mathbf{u}_0^0) \in H^{s'} \times H^{s'}$ be initial data with $s' > \frac{d}{2} + 1$ and satisfy (1.3). Then there exists $T > 0$, maximal time of existence and a solution $(n^0, \mathbf{u}^0)$ of (1.2) on $0 \leq t < T$ with initial data $(n_0^0, \mathbf{u}_0^0)$ such that $\mathbf{u}^0$ and $n^0 - \tilde{n}$ are in $L^\infty([0,T'])$ for every $T' < T$. Furthermore,*

$$(n^0, \mathbf{u}^0) \in \Big(C([0,T]; H^{s'}) \times C([0,T]; \mathbf{H}^{s'})\Big) \bigcap \Big(C^1([0,T]; H^{s'-1}) \times C^1([0,T]; \mathbf{H}^{s'-1})\Big)$$

and T depends only on $\|(n_0^0, \mathbf{u}_0^0)\|_{H^{s'} \times \mathbf{H}^{s'}}$.

Remark 1.2. *For the maximal existence time T, either $T = \infty$ in the case of global existence, or $T < \infty$ and the solution blows up when $t \to T$:*

$$\limsup_{t \to T} (\|\mathbf{u}^0\|_{L^\infty} + \|n^0\|_{L^\infty} + \|\frac{1}{n^0}\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t (\|\partial_x \mathbf{u}^0\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_x n^0\|_{L^\infty}) d\tau) = \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Therefore, we will work on a time interval $[0,T']$ for $T' < T$ (but arbitrary close to T) in order to insure $0 < \sigma' < n^0(t,x) < \sigma''$ for all (t,x), for some constants $\sigma', \sigma'' > 0$. Here, σ' may approach to 0 as T' goes to T.

Let us define $\phi^0 = \ln n^0$. The main result in this paper is the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let $s' \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s' > [\frac{d}{2}]+2$ be sufficiently large. Let $(n_0^0, \mathbf{u}_0^0) \in H^{s'} \times \mathbf{H}^{s'}$ and $(n^0, \mathbf{u}^0)$ be the solution of the limit system (1.2) on $[0,T)$ with initial data $(n_0^0, \mathbf{u}_0^0)$, given in Theorem 1.1. Then there exists solutions $(n^\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon(t))$ of (1.1) with the same initial data on $[0, T^\varepsilon)$ with $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} T^\varepsilon \geq T$. Moreover, for every $T' < T$ and for every ε small enough, $\varepsilon^{-1}(n^\varepsilon - n^0)$ and $\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}^0)$ are bounded in $L^\infty([0,T']; H^s)$ and $L^\infty([0,T']; \mathbf{H}^s)$, respectively, for some $s < s'$.*

Without essential difficulties, we can show that the same result holds on the torus $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ or equivalently on $[0,1]$ with periodic boundary conditions following the method in the present paper.

Before proving this theorem, we make several remarks on the background of the Euler-Poisson equation and the development of its quasineutral limit. The more general isothermal Euler-Poisson equation for ion-acoustic plasma has the following form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n + div(n\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}, & (1.5a) \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \dfrac{T_i}{n} \nabla n = -\nabla \phi, & (1.5b) \\ \varepsilon \Delta \phi = e^\phi - n, & (1.5c) \end{cases}$$

where $T_i > 0$ is the ion temperature. When $T_i = 0$ (comparing with the electron temperature), this equation reduces to (1.1) for the cold, ion-acoustic plasma. For (1.5) (with fixed $T_i > 0$), Cordier and Grenier [2] showed the quasineutral limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ by using the pseudodifferential energy estimates method of [6]. It is shown that, under suitable conditions, the solution of (1.5) converges to the following Euler equation as $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n + div(n\mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + (T_i + 1) \nabla \ln n = 0. \end{cases}$$

However, as far as we know, there is no quasineutral limit result for (1.1) so far. The main difference between (1.1) and (1.5) is that (1.5) has the pressure term $T_i \nabla \ln n$, which is crucial in proving the quasineutral result of the Euler-Poisson equation (1.5). With this term, the hyperbolic part of (1.5) is Friedrich symmetrisable and the general framework of pseudodifferential operator energy estimates methods of Grenier [6] can be applied. One may refer to [2] for more details of application of this method in treating the quasineutral limit of (1.5). But without the pressure term, as is the case in the present paper, since the hyperbolic part (the equations (1.1a) and (1.1b)) of (1.1) is not symmetrisable, the pseudodifferential energy method cannot apply and no quasineutral limit can be drawn without introducing new techniques.

For the Euler-Poisson equation (1.5), Guo and Pausader [8] constructed global smooth irrotational solutions with small amplitude for this equation with fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T_i > 0$. Very recently, Guo and Pu [9] derived the KdV equation from (1.5) for the full range of $T_i \geq 0$, and Pu [16] derived the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II equation and the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation via the Gardner-Morikawa type transformations. Guo *et al* [7] made a breakthrough for the Euler-maxwell two-fluid system in 3D and proved that irrotational, smooth and localized perturbations of a constant background with small amplitude lead to global smooth solutions. We also would like to remark that Loeper [13] proved quasineutral limit results recently for the electron Euler-Poisson equation without pressure term and the Euler-Monge-Ampère equation, whose method is different from ours and cannot be applied to our situation. For numerical studies for the pressureless Euler-Poisson equation (1.1), the reader may refer to a recent paper of Degond *et al* [3], which analyzes various schemes for the Euler-Poisson-Boltzmann equation. For more results on the quasi-neutral limit results of the Euler-Poisson equation and related models, one may refer to various recent papers and the references therein, see [1, 4, 5, 10, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21] to list only a few.

The next section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. For this purpose, we write the solution of (1.1) as $n^\varepsilon = n^0 + \varepsilon n^1$ and $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{u}^0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}^1$ and consider the remainder system (R_ε) of n^1 and $\mathbf{u}^1$. The main idea is then to show that $(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1)$ is uniformly bounded in $H^s \times \mathbf{H}^s$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$. To overcome the difficulty of non-symmetrisability of (1.1), we introduce some triple norm $|||\cdot|||_{\varepsilon,s}$

$$\begin{aligned}
|||n^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,s} &= \|n^1\|^2_{H^s}, \\
|||\mathbf{u}^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,s} &= \|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{H^s} + \varepsilon\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{H^s}, \\
|||\phi^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,s} &= \|\phi^1\|^2_{H^s} + \varepsilon\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^s} + \varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^s},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

and then show that $|||(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}$ is uniformly bounded on some time interval independent of ε. The main novelty of the proof is then to combine the s-order energy estimates with the $(s+1)$-order energy estimates with weights 1 and ε. By such a combination, we obtain some Gronwall type inequality for $|||(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}$, which enables us to obtain uniform estimates independent of ε. This method could be useful in treating the quasineutral limit for the pressureless electron Euler-Poisson equations.

We introduce several notations. We let L^p denote the usual Lebesgue space of p-th integrable functions normed by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$. When $p = 2$, we usually use $\|\cdot\|$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$. The Sobolev space H^s, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \geq 0$ is defined as $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f(x) : \sum_{|\alpha|\leq s} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2 < \infty\}$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d)$ is a multi-index, $|\alpha| = \sum \alpha_i$ and $\partial^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial^{\alpha_1}_{x_1} \cdots \partial^{\alpha_d}_{x_d}}$. H^s is a Banach space with norm $\|f\|_{H^s} = (\sum_{|\alpha|\leq s} \|\partial^\alpha f\|^2)^{1/2}$. For definiteness, we will restrict ourselves to the physical space dimensions $d \leq 3$ in this paper.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3. Let $(n^\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)$ satisfy the Euler-Poisson equation (1.1), and $(n^0, \mathbf{u}^0, \phi^0)$ be a sufficiently smooth solution of the Euler equation

(1.2). We let

$$n^\varepsilon = n^0 + \varepsilon n^1, \quad \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{u}^0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}^1, \quad \phi^\varepsilon = \phi^0 + \varepsilon\phi^1. \tag{2.1}$$

Here ϕ^ε and n^ε satisfy the Poisson equation (1.1c) and indeed ϕ^ε can be solved via $\phi^\varepsilon = \phi^\varepsilon[n^\varepsilon]$ and $\phi^0 = \ln n^0$. Then $(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)$ satisfy the remainder system (R_ε):

$$(R_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t n^1 + \nabla\cdot(n^0\mathbf{u}^1 + \mathbf{u}^0 n^1) + \varepsilon\nabla\cdot(n^1\mathbf{u}^1) = 0 & \text{(2.2a)} \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}^1 + \mathbf{u}^0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1 + \mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0 + \varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1 = -\nabla\phi^1 & \text{(2.2b)} \\ -\varepsilon\Delta\phi^1 = \Delta\phi^0 + n^1 - n^0\phi^1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}R^1, & \text{(2.2c)} \end{cases}$$

where

$$R^1 = \varepsilon^{-3/2}(n^0 + \varepsilon n^0\phi^1 - e^{\phi^0+\varepsilon\phi^1}). \tag{2.3}$$

To prove Theorem 1.3, we need only to derive some uniform bound for the remainder equation (2.2). To slightly simplify the presentation, we assume that (2.2) has smooth solutions in a small time T_ε dependent on ε. Let $\tilde{C}$ be a constant to be determined later, much larger than the bound of $\|(n_0^1, \mathbf{u}_0^1, \phi_0^1)\|_s$, such that on $[0, T_\varepsilon]$

$$\sup_{[0,T_\varepsilon]} \|(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)\|_{H^s} \le \tilde{C}. \tag{2.4}$$

We will prove that $T_\varepsilon > T$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ for some $T > 0$. Recalling the expressions for n and $\mathbf{u}$ in (2.1), we immediately know that there exists some $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\tilde{C}) > 0$ such that on $[0, T_\varepsilon]$,

$$\sigma'/2 < n^\varepsilon < 2\sigma'', \quad |\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon| \le 1/2, \tag{2.5}$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$.

2.1. **Estimates for R_1.**

We first bound R^1 in terms of ϕ^1. More precisely, we have the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(n^0, \mathbf{u}^0, \phi^0)$ be a sufficiently smooth solution of (1.2) by Theorem 1.1. Then for the remainder term (2.3), we have on $[0, T_\varepsilon]$*

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^1\|_{H^k} &\le C(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\tilde{C})\|\phi^1\|_{H^k}, \quad \textit{and} \\ \|\partial_t R^1\|_{H^k} &\le C(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\tilde{C})(\|\phi^1\|_{H^k} + \|\partial_t\phi^1\|_{H^k}), \quad \forall k \ge 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In particular, there exists some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $C_1 = C(1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^1\|_{H^k} &\le C_1\|\phi^1\|_{H^k}, \quad \textit{and} \\ \|\partial_t R^1\|_{H^k} &\le C_1(\|\phi^1\|_{H^k} + \|\partial_t\phi^1\|_{H^k}), \quad \forall k \ge 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ and $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$.

Proof. From the Taylor expansion in the integral form, we have

$$R^1 = \varepsilon^{1/2} e^{\phi^0} \int_0^1 e^{\theta\varepsilon\phi^1}(1-\theta)d\theta(\phi^1)^2.$$

By taking L^2 norm, we have

$$\|R^1\| \le \sqrt{\varepsilon}\|e^{\phi^0}\|_{L^\infty} e^{\varepsilon\|\phi^1\|_{L^\infty}}\|\phi^1\|_{L^2}\|\phi^1\|_{L^\infty}.$$

From the continuity assumption (2.4), we have $\|\phi^1\|_{L^\infty} \le C\tilde{C}$ on $[0, T_\varepsilon]$ and hence

$$\|R^1\|_{L^2} \le C(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\tilde{C})\|\phi^1\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_\varepsilon].$$

By applying ∂^α with $|\alpha| = k$, $k \ge 1$ integers, similar estimates yield

$$\|R^1\|_{H^k} \le C(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\tilde{C})\|\phi^1\|_{H^k}.$$

Taking ∂_t to R^1 and then taking the H^k norm, we obtain

$$\|\partial_t R^1\|_{H^k} \le C(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\tilde{C})(\|\phi^1\|_{H^k} + \|\partial_t\phi^1\|_{H^k}).$$

Finally, choosing $\varepsilon_1 = (1/\tilde{C})^2$ yields (2.7). □

2.2. **Elliptical estimates.** The following lemmas provide useful estimates between n^1, $\mathbf{u}^1$ and ϕ^1. These will be used widely in the uniform estimates in the next subsection.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)$ be a smooth solution for the remainder system (R_ε), and α be a multiindex. There exist ε_1 and C such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ and any multiindices α with $|\alpha| = k \ge 0$, there hold*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha n^1\|^2 \le C + C\|\phi^1\|_{H^k}^2 + C\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|_{H^k}^2, \quad \text{and}$$
$$\|\partial_x^\alpha\phi^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla\phi^1\| + \varepsilon^2\|\Delta\partial_x^\alpha\phi^1\|^2 \le C + C\|\partial_x^\alpha n^1\|^2,$$

on the interval $[0, T_\varepsilon]$.

Proof. Taking the L^2 inner product of (2.2c) with ϕ^1 and then integrating by parts yield

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1\|^2 + \int n^0|\phi^1|^2 = \int \phi^1\Delta\phi^0 + \int n^1\phi^1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int \phi^1 R^1.$$

Hereafter, $\int = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\cdots dx$. As $n^0 > \sigma' > 0$ for $t \le T' < T$, we obtain by Young's inequality

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1\|^2 + \sigma'\|\phi^1\|^2 \le \frac{\sigma'}{4}\|\phi^1\|^2 + \frac{4C}{\sigma'}(\|\Delta\phi^0\|^2 + \|n^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\|R^1\|^2).$$

From Lemma 2.1, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that $\|R^1\| \le C_1\|\phi^1\|$. Then by choosing a new smaller ε_1 such that $\varepsilon_1 \le \frac{\sigma'^2}{16CC_1}$, we then have for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ that

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1\|^2 + \sigma'\|\phi^1\|^2 \le \frac{C}{\sigma'}(1 + \|n^1\|^2). \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly, by taking the L^2 inner product of (2.2c) with $\varepsilon\Delta\phi^1$ and integrating by parts, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\int n^0|\nabla\phi^1|^2 &= -\varepsilon\int \Delta\phi^0\Delta\phi^1 + \varepsilon\int n^1\Delta\phi^1 \\ &\quad - \varepsilon\int \nabla n^0\phi^1\nabla\phi^1 + \varepsilon^{3/2}\int R^1\phi^1 \\ &\le \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2 + 4(\|\Delta\phi^0\|^2 + \|n^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\|R^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\|\phi^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1\|^2),\end{aligned}$$

which yields for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ that

$$\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\sigma'\|\nabla\phi^1\|^2 \le C(1 + \|n^1\|^2), \tag{2.9}$$

as $n^0 > \sigma' > 0$ for $t \le T' < T$, where the constant C depends on σ'. By combining (2.8) and (2.9) together, we easily obtain that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$:

$$\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\sigma'\|\nabla\phi^1\|^2 + \|\phi^1\|^2 \le C(1 + \|n^1\|^2),$$

for some constant C depending on σ'. On the other hand, by taking the L^2 norm of (2.2c), we obtain that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|n^1\|^2 \le& \varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2 + \|n^0\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\phi^1\|^2 + \|\Delta\phi^0\|^2 + \varepsilon\|R^1\|^2 \\ \le& C(1 + \|\phi^1\|^2 + \varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2),\end{aligned}$$

thanks again to Lemma 2.1, where C depends on σ'' and C_1. Therefore, we finishes the proof when $k = 0$. Higher order estimates can be handled similarly, and we omit further details. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)$ *be a smooth solution for the remainder system* (R_ε)*, and* α *be an integer. There exist* ε_1 *and* C *such that for any* $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ *and any multiindices* α *with* $|\alpha| = k$*, there holds*

$$\|\partial^\alpha \partial_t n^1\|^2 \le C(1 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^{k+1}} + \|\phi^1\|^2_{H^{k+1}} + \varepsilon^2 \|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^{k+1}}), \tag{2.10}$$

on the time interval $[0, T_\varepsilon]$.

Proof. We take the L^2 norm of (2.2a) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t n^1\|^2 \le & C(\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1} + \|n^1\|^2_{H^1}) + \varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n^1\|^2)\\ \le & C(1 + \varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{L^\infty}))(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1} + \|n^1\|^2_{H^1}),\end{aligned}$$

for some constant C depending on $(n^0, \mathbf{u}^0)$. By the continuity assumption (2.4) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t n^1\|^2 \le & C(1 + \varepsilon^2 \tilde{C})(1 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1} + \|\phi^1\|^2_{H^1} + \varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^1}),\\ \le & C(1 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^1} + \|\phi^1\|^2_{H^1} + \varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^1})\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$.

Higher order inequalities are proved similarly. Taking ∂^α with $|\alpha| = k \ge 1$ to the equation (2.2a), and then taking the L^2 norm to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial^\alpha \partial_t n^1\|^2 \le & C(\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^{k+1}} + \|n^1\|^2_{H^{k+1}}) + \varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\partial^\alpha\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\partial^\alpha\nabla n^1\|^2)\\ \le & C(1 + \varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{L^\infty}))(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^{k+1}} + \|n^1\|^2_{H^{k+1}})\\ \le & C(1 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^{k+1}} + \|\phi^1\|^2_{H^{k+1}} + \varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^{k+1}}),\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, where we have used the multiplicative estimates in Lemma A.1. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)$ *be a smooth solution for the remainder system* (R_ε)*, and* α *be an integer. There exist* ε_1 *and* C *such that for any* $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ *and any multiindices* α *with* $|\alpha| = k$*,*

$$\|\partial^\alpha \partial_t \phi^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha \nabla \partial_t \phi^1\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\partial^\alpha \Delta \partial_t \phi^1\|^2 \le C(1 + \|\partial^\alpha \partial_t n^1\|^2 + \|\phi^1\|^2_{H^k}),$$

on the time interval $[0, T_\varepsilon]$.

Proof. Taking ∂_t of (2.2c), we obtain

$$-\varepsilon \Delta \partial_t \phi^1 = \Delta \partial_t \phi^0 + \partial_t n^1 - \partial_t(n^0 \phi^1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_t R^1.$$

Taking L^2 inner product with $\partial_t \phi^1$ and then integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla \partial_t \phi^1\|^2 + \int n^0 |\partial_t \phi^1|^2 = \int \partial_t \phi^1 (\Delta \partial_t \phi^0 - \partial_t n^0 \phi^1 + \partial_t n^1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_t R^1).$$

As $n^0 > \sigma' > 0$ for $t \le T' < T$, we have by Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon\|\nabla \partial_t \phi^1\|^2 + \sigma'\|\partial_t \phi^1\|^2 \le & \frac{\sigma'}{4}\|\partial_t \phi^1\|^2\\ & + \frac{4}{\sigma'}\big(\|\Delta \partial_t \phi^0\|^2 + \|\partial_t n^0\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\phi^1\|^2 + \|\partial_t n^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\|\partial_t R^1\|^2\big)\\ \le & \frac{\sigma'}{4}\|\partial_t \phi^1\|^2 + \frac{4}{\sigma'}(C + C\|\phi^1\|^2 + \|\partial_t n^1\|^2 + \varepsilon\|\partial_t R^1\|^2).\end{aligned}$$

By choosing a small $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that $16C_1\varepsilon_1 \le \sigma'^2$, we then have for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ that

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla \partial_t \phi^1\|^2 + \sigma'\|\partial_t \phi^1\|^2 \le \frac{C}{\sigma'}(1 + \|\phi^1\|^2 + \|\partial_t n^1\|^2),$$

thanks to Lemma 2.1. Similarly, by taking inner product with $\varepsilon\Delta\partial_t\phi^1$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\partial_t\phi^1\|^2+\sigma'\varepsilon\|\partial_t\phi^1\|^2\le\frac{C}{\sigma'}(1+\|\phi^1\|^2+\|\partial_t n^1\|^2).$$

Adding them together, we obtain that for and any $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_1$ for some $\varepsilon_1>0$,

$$\|\partial_t\phi^1\|^2+\varepsilon\|\nabla\partial_t\phi\|^2+\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\partial_t\phi^1\|^2\le C(1+\|\partial_t n^1\|^2_{H^k}+\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^k}),$$

for some constant C depending on σ'. Higher order estimates can be treated similarly and we obtain for any α with $|\alpha|=k$ that

$$\|\partial_t\partial^\alpha\phi^1\|^2+\varepsilon\|\partial_t\partial^\alpha\nabla\phi\|^2+\varepsilon^2\|\partial_t\partial^\alpha\Delta\phi^1\|^2\le C(1+\|\partial_t n^1\|^2_{H^k}+\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^k}),$$

for some constant C depending on σ'. □

By recalling Lemma 2.3, we have the following

Corollary 2.1. *Let $(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)$ be a smooth solution for the remainder system (R_ε), and α be an integer. There exist ε_1 and C such that for any $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_1$ and any multiindices α with $|\alpha|=k$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial^\alpha\partial_t\phi^1\|^2+\varepsilon\|\partial^\alpha\nabla\partial_t\phi^1\|^2+\varepsilon^2\|\partial^\alpha\Delta\partial_t\phi^1\|^2\\&\qquad\le C(1+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{\mathbf{H}^{k+1}}+\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^{k+1}}+\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^{k+1}}),\end{aligned}$$

on the time interval $[0,T_\varepsilon]$.

As a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4 and 2.3, we also have

Corollary 2.2. *Let $(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)$ be a smooth solution for the remainder system (R_ε), and α be an integer. There exist ε_1 and C such that*

$$\|\partial_t R^1\|_{H^k}\le C(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,k+1}),$$

for any $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_1$ and any multiindices α with $|\alpha|=k$.

2.3. **Estimates of the s order.** In this subsection, we give several estimates at the s order. However, the H^s-norm of the solutions depends on the H^{s+1}-norm and hence cannot be closed until the next subsection. The main result in this subsection is Proposition 2.1. In the following, $\gamma\ge 0$ will always denote a multiindex with $|\gamma|=s$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\gamma\ge0$ be a multiindex with $|\gamma|=s$, $(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)$ be a smooth solution for the system* (2.2). *There exists $\varepsilon_1>0$ and $C>0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{L^2}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{n^0}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}|\partial^\gamma\phi^1|^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2\}\\&\qquad\le C(1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^3_{\varepsilon,5})(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3}),\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

for any $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_1$.

Proof. Let γ be a multiindex with $|\gamma|=s\ge0$. Taking ∂^γ to (2.2b), we obtain

$$\partial_t\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1+\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1)+\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0)+\varepsilon\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1)=-\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1.$$

Taking L^2 inner product with $\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int\partial_t\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1=&-\int\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1-\varepsilon\int\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1)\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\\&-\int\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1)\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1-\int\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0)\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\\=&:I+II+III+IV.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

- *Estimate of the fourth term IV.*

The term IV can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} IV \leq & C\|\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0)\|_{L^2}\|\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^2} \\ \leq & C(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^0\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^0\|_{H^s}\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty})\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s} \\ \leq & C(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^2}^2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where we have used the commutator estimates (A.1), the Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ when $d \leq 3$ and the fact that $(n^0, \mathbf{u}^0)$ is a known smooth solution of the Euler equation (1.2) by Theorem 1.1.

- *Estimate of the third term III.*

By integration by parts, the third term III can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} III = & -\int \mathbf{u}^0\cdot\nabla\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1 - \int[\partial^\gamma,\mathbf{u}^0]\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1 \\ = & \frac{1}{2}\int\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^0|\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1|^2 - \int[\partial^\gamma,\mathbf{u}^0]\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

By using commutator estimates (A.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int[\partial^\gamma,\mathbf{u}^0]\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\right| \leq & C\|[\partial^\gamma,\mathbf{u}^0]\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^2}\|\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^2} \\ \leq & C(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^0\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^{s-1}}+\|\mathbf{u}^0\|_{H^s}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty})\|\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^2} \\ \leq & C(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$. This yields the estimate

$$III \leq C(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2), \tag{2.15}$$

since the first term on the RHS of (2.14) is bounded by $C\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2$.

- *Estimate of the second term II.*

Similar to the estimate of III, we have by integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} II = & \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1 - \varepsilon\int[\partial^\gamma,\mathbf{u}^1]\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1 \\ \leq & C\varepsilon\|\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + C\varepsilon(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^{s-1}}+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s})\|\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^2} \\ \leq & C\varepsilon\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

- *Estimate of the first term I.*

By integration by parts, the term I in (2.12) is rewritten as

$$I = \int\partial^\gamma\phi^1\partial^\gamma\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1.$$

To handle this term, we note that from the remainder equation (2.2a),

$$\begin{aligned} (n^0+\varepsilon n^1)\partial^\gamma\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1 + [\partial^\gamma, n^0+\varepsilon n^1]\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1 + \partial_t\partial^\gamma n^1 \\ + \partial^\gamma((\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)\cdot\nabla n^1 + \mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla n^0) + \partial^\gamma(n^1\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^0) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Inserting this into I, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I = & -\int \frac{\partial^\gamma \phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial_t \partial^\gamma n^1 - \int \frac{\partial^\gamma \phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma((\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^1)\cdot \nabla n^1) \\ & - \int \frac{\partial^\gamma \phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}[\partial^\gamma, n^0+\varepsilon n^1]\nabla\cdot \mathbf{u}^1 - \int \frac{\partial^\gamma \phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla n^0) \\ & - \int \frac{\partial^\gamma \phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma(n^1\cdot\nabla \mathbf{u}^0) =: \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

In the following, we estimate $I_3 \sim I_5$ while leaving the estimates of I_1 and I_2 to the next lemmas.

For I_3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 \le & C\|\partial^\gamma \phi^1\|_{L^2}(\|\nabla(n^0+\varepsilon n^1)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\cdot \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|n^0+\varepsilon n^1\|_{H^s}\|\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \le & C\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}) + C\varepsilon(\|n^1\|_{H^3}+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3})\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}(\|n^1\|_{H^s}+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}) \\ \le & C(1+\varepsilon\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^3})\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Hölder inequality, commutator estimates (A.1) and the fact that n^0 and $n^0+\varepsilon n^1$ are bounded from above and below by positive numbers when $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ is small enough in (2.5).

For I_4, directly applying the Hölder inequality and Lemma A.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_{24} \le & C\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n^0\|_{H^s} + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}\|\nabla n^0\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \le & C\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, I_5 can be bounded by

$$I_{25} \le C\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|n^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|n^1\|_{H^2}^2.$$

Summarizing, we have that

$$I \le I_1 + I_2 + C\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^3}^2 + C(1+\varepsilon^2\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^3}^2)\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)\|_{H^s}^2. \tag{2.19}$$

To end the proof of Lemma 2.5, we need to get suitable estimates for I_1 and I_2. However, this is not straightforward and to make it easier to read, we leave the proof to the next two lemmas. □

Lemma 2.6. *The term of I_2 in (2.18) is bounded by*

$$I_{21} \le C(1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,4}^3)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 + |||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2), \tag{2.20}$$

for some constant $C>0$ and for all $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_1$.

Proof. First, we observe that I_2 in (2.18) can be decomposed into

$$I_2 = -\int \frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot\nabla\partial^\gamma n^1 - \int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}[\partial^\gamma,\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1]\cdot\nabla n^1 =: I_{21}+I_{22}.$$

By commutator estimate (A.1),

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22} \le & C\|\partial^\gamma\phi^1\|(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}\|\nabla n^1\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \le & C\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}(\|n^1\|_{H^s}+\|n^1\|_{H^3}) + C\varepsilon(\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^3})\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}(\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^s}) \\ \le & C\|n^1\|_{H^3}^2 + C(1+\varepsilon\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^3})\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)\|_{H^s}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

To treat I_{21}, we first note that from the remainder equation (2.2c),

$$\partial^\gamma\nabla n^1 = \nabla\partial^\gamma(n^0\phi^1) - \varepsilon\partial^\gamma\nabla\Delta\phi^1 - \partial^\gamma\nabla\Delta\phi^0 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial^\gamma\nabla R^1. \tag{2.22}$$

Hence I_{21} is accordingly divided into

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} =& -\int \frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot\nabla\partial^\gamma(n^0\phi^1) + \varepsilon\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla\Delta\phi^1 \\ &+\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla\Delta\phi^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla R^1 \\ =&:\sum_{i=1}^4 I_{21i}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

For the first term I_{211}, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{211} =& -\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot n^0\nabla\partial^\gamma\phi^1 - \int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot[\partial^\gamma,n^0]\nabla\phi^1 \\ =&\frac{1}{2}\int\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{n^0(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\right)|\partial^\gamma\phi^1|^2 - \int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot[\partial^\gamma,n^0]\nabla\phi^1. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation and Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\|\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{n^0(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\right)\|_{L^\infty}\le C+C\varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|_{H^3}^2+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2),$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_{211}\le& C\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|_{H^3}^2+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2)\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|\partial^\gamma\phi^1\|_{L^2}\cdot \\ &\cdot(1+\varepsilon\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty})(\|\nabla n^0\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{H^{s-1}}+\|n^0\|_{H^s}\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \le& C(1+\varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|_{H^3}^2+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2))\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|\phi^1\|_{H^3}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

thanks to the commutator estimates (A.1). For I_{212}, by integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{212} =&\varepsilon\int\frac{(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla\Delta\phi^1 \\ =&-\varepsilon\int\frac{(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla\nabla\phi^1 - \varepsilon\int\nabla(\frac{(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\partial^\gamma\phi^1\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla\nabla\phi^1 \\ =&\frac{3\varepsilon}{2}\int\nabla\cdot(\frac{(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2 + \varepsilon\int\nabla^2(\frac{(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\partial^\gamma\phi^1\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation and Sobolev embedding $H^2\hookrightarrow L^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\cdot(\frac{(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\|_{L^\infty}\le& C+C\varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|_{H^3}^2+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2) \\ \|\nabla^2(\frac{(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\|_{L^\infty}\le& C+C\varepsilon^3(\|n^1\|_{H^4}^3+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^4}^3), \end{aligned}$$

which yield

$$I_{212}\le C\left(1+\varepsilon^3(\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^4}^3)\right)(\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2+\varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2). \tag{2.25}$$

For I_{213}, by Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$I_{213}\le C\left(1+\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^2}^2+\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2\right). \tag{2.26}$$

For I_{214}, by integration by parts,

$$I_{214}=-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int\nabla\cdot(\frac{(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\partial^\gamma\phi^1\partial^\gamma R^1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int\frac{(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\cdot\nabla\partial^\gamma\phi^1\partial^\gamma R^1.$$

By using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_{214} \leq & C(1+\varepsilon^2\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^3}^2)\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 \\ & + C(1+\varepsilon\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty})(\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2+\varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2) \\ \leq & C(1+\varepsilon\|(\mathbf{u}^1,n^1)\|_{H^3})(\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2+\varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2).\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

By (2.23) and putting (2.24)-(2.27) together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_{21} \leq & C + C\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)\|_{H^3}^2 \\ & + C(1+\varepsilon^3\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^4}^3)(\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)\|_{H^s}^2+\varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2) \\ \leq & C(1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,4}^3)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2),\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where we have used the definition of the norm $|||\cdot|||_{\varepsilon,s}$ in (1.6) and Lemma 2.2 to replace the norms of n^1 with the norms of ϕ^1, By putting (2.21) and (2.28) together and using Lemma 2.2, we obtain (2.20). This ends the proof of Lemma 2.6. □

Lemma 2.7. *For I_1 in* (2.18)*, we have the estimates*

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 \leq & -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\phi^1|^2-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2 \\ & + C(1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,5}^3)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the remainder equation (2.2c), we obtain

$$\partial^\gamma\partial_t n^1 = \partial^\gamma\partial_t(n^0\phi^1)-\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\partial_t\Delta\phi^1-\partial^\gamma\partial_t\Delta\phi^0-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial^\gamma\partial_t R^1. \tag{2.29}$$

In this way, we can divide I_1 in (2.18) into the following

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 = & \varepsilon\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma\partial_t\Delta\phi^1-\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma\partial_t(n^0\phi^1) \\ & -\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma\partial_t\Delta\phi^0-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma\partial_t R^1=\sum_{i=1}^4 I_{1i}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

In the following, we treat the RHS terms of (2.30) one by one.

- *Estimate of I_{12}.*

For the term I_{12}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_{12} = & -\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma(\phi^1\partial_t n^0)-\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma(n^0\partial_t\phi^1) \\ = & -\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma(\phi^1\partial_t n^0)-\int\frac{n^0\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial_t\partial^\gamma\phi^1-\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}[\partial^\gamma,n^0]\partial_t\phi^1 \\ =: & \sum_{i=1}^3 I_{12i}.\end{aligned}$$

For the first term I_{121}, since n^0 is known and is assumed to be smooth in Theorem 1.1, we have

$$I_{121} \leq C\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2,$$

thanks to the multiplicative estimate in Lemma A.1. For the second term I_{122}, by integration by parts and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{122} =& -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \frac{n^0}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}|\partial^\gamma \phi^1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int \partial_t\Big(\frac{n^0}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\Big)|\partial^\gamma \phi^1|^2 \\ \leq& -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \frac{n^0}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}|\partial^\gamma \phi^1|^2 + C(1+\varepsilon\|\partial_t n^1\|_{L^\infty})\|\partial^\gamma\phi^1\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \leq& -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \frac{n^0}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}|\partial^\gamma \phi^1|^2 + C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ for $d \leq 3$. For the third term I_{123}, by commutator estimates in Lemma A.1 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{123} \leq& C\|\partial^\gamma\phi^1\|_{L^2}(\|\nabla n^0\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_t\phi^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|n^0\|_{H^s}\|\partial_t\phi^1\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \leq& C(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 + |||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} \leq& -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \frac{n^0}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}|\partial^\gamma \phi^1|^2 \\ &+ C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 + |||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

- *Estimate of* I_{13}.

For the term I_{13}, since ϕ^0 is known and smooth, it is easy to obtain

$$I_{13} \leq C(1+\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2). \tag{2.32}$$

- *Estimate of* I_{14}.

For the term I_{14}, by integration by parts, we have

$$I_{14} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int \frac{\partial^{\gamma+\gamma_1}\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\partial_t R^1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int \partial^{\gamma_1}\Big(\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\Big)\partial^\gamma\phi^1\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\partial_t R^1,$$

where $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma$ is a multiindex with $|\gamma_1| = 1$. By Lemma 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and the definition of the triple norm $|||\cdot|||_{\varepsilon,s}$, we have the bound

$$\begin{aligned} I_{14} \leq& C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|\partial^{\gamma+\gamma_1}\phi^1\|_{L^2}\|\partial_t R^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + C(1+\varepsilon\|\partial^{\gamma_1}n^1\|_{L^\infty})\|\partial^\gamma\phi^1\|_{L^2}\|\partial_t R^1\|_{H^{s-1}} \\ \leq& C\|\partial_t R^1\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + C\varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C(1+\varepsilon^2\|n^1\|_{H^3}^2)\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 \\ \leq& C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

- *Estimate of* I_{11}.

We next deal with the term I_{11} in (2.30). By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} =& -\varepsilon\int \frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1 \cdot \partial_t\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1 - \varepsilon\int \nabla\Big(\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\Big)\partial^\gamma\phi^1 \cdot \partial_t\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1 \\ =& -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int \partial_t\Big(\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\Big)|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2 \\ &- \varepsilon\int \nabla\Big(\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\Big)\partial^\gamma\phi^1 \cdot \partial_t\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1 =: I_{111} + I_{112} + I_{113}. \end{aligned}$$

Since from Sobolev embedding and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|\partial_t\Big(\frac{n^0}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\Big)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+\varepsilon\|\partial_t n^1\|_{L^\infty}) \leq C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2),$$

it is immediate that

$$I_{112} \leq C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3})(\varepsilon\|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1\|^2).$$

For the term I_{113}, we have by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{113} =&\varepsilon\int\Delta(\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\partial^\gamma\phi^1\partial_t\partial^\gamma\phi^1+\varepsilon\int\nabla(\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1\partial_t\partial^\gamma\phi^1\\ =&-\varepsilon\int\partial^{\gamma_1}\Delta(\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon})\partial^\gamma\phi^1\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1-\varepsilon\int\Delta(\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon})\partial^{\gamma+\gamma_1}\phi^1\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1\\ &-\varepsilon\int\partial^{\gamma_1}\nabla(\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon})\nabla\partial^\gamma\phi^1\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1-\varepsilon\int\nabla(\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon})\nabla\partial^{\gamma+\gamma_1}\phi^1\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1, \end{aligned}\tag{2.34}$$

where $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma$ is a multiindex with $|\gamma_1| = 1$. By direct computation, Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$, it is easy to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\alpha(\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon})\|_{L^\infty} \leq& C(1+\varepsilon^{|\alpha|}\|n^1\|^{|\alpha|}_{H^{2+|\alpha|}})\\ \leq& C(1+\varepsilon^{|\alpha|}|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^{|\alpha|}_{\varepsilon,2+|\alpha|}) \end{aligned}\tag{2.35}$$

for any smooth function n^0 and any multiindex α, thanks to Lemma 2.2. On the other hand, from Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1\|^2_{L^2} \leq& C(1+\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^{s-1}}+\|\partial_t n^1\|^2_{H^{s-1}})\\ \leq& C(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}). \end{aligned}\tag{2.36}$$

Since the order of the derivatives on $n^0/(n^0+\varepsilon n^1)$ in (2.34) does not exceed 3, by using Hölder inequality, (2.35) and (2.36), I_{113} can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{113} \leq& C(1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^3_{\varepsilon,5})\cdot\varepsilon(\|\partial^\gamma\phi^1\|_{L^2}+\|\partial^{\gamma+\gamma_1}\phi^1\|_{L^2}\\ &+\|\nabla\partial^\gamma\phi^1\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\partial^{\gamma+\gamma_1}\phi^1\|_{L^2})\cdot\|\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1\|_{L^2}\\ \leq& C(1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^3_{\varepsilon,5})\cdot\\ &\cdot(\|\partial^\gamma\phi^1\|^2_{L^2}+\varepsilon\|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1\|^2_{L^2}+\varepsilon^2\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{L^2}+\|\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1\|^2_{L^2})\\ \leq& C(1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^3_{\varepsilon,5})(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definition of $|||\cdot|||_{\varepsilon,s}$ in (1.6) and the L^2 boundedness of the Riesz operator [19]. To be more precise, there exists some constant $C > 0$ such that $\|\partial_i\partial_j\phi^1\| \leq C\|\Delta\phi^1\|$ since $\partial_i\partial_j = -R_iR_j\Delta$, where R_i is the i^{th} Riesz operator. In particular, we have $\|\nabla\partial^{\gamma+\gamma_1}\phi^1\| \leq C\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi\|$.

Summarizing, we have

$$I_{11} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2+C(1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^3_{\varepsilon,5})(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}).\tag{2.37}$$

By (2.30) and the estimates of (2.31), (2.32), (2.33) and (2.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \leq& -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\phi^1|^2-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2\\ &+C(1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^3_{\varepsilon,5})(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3}). \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 2.7. □

Now, we can end the proof of Lemma 2.5.

End of proof of Lemma 2.5. The proof of Lemma 2.5 is closed by (2.12), (2.13), (2.15), (2.16), (2.19) and Lemma 2.6 and 2.7. □

Proposition 2.1. *Let $s \geq 0$ be a positive integer, $(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)$ be a smooth solution for the system* (2.2)*. There exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $C, C' > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathbf{u}^1(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\phi^1(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1(t)\|_{H^s}^2\\ &\leq C'(\|\mathbf{u}^1(0)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\phi^1(0)\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1(0)\|_{H^s}^2)\\ &\quad + C\int_0^t (1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,5}^3)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 + |||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

where C' depends only on σ' and σ''.

Proof. This is shown by integrating (2.11) over $[0, t]$ and summing them up for $|\gamma| \leq s$, and then using $\sigma' < n^0 < \sigma''$ and $\frac{\sigma'}{2} < n^\varepsilon < 2\sigma''$ for any $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$ in (1.4) and (2.5) for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$. □

However, this Gronwall inequality is not closed since the right hand side of (2.38) depends on $\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2$, which does not appear on the left hand side. This will be treated in the next subsection.

2.4. **Weighted $s+1$ order estimates.**

In the following, we also let γ be a multiindex with $|\gamma| = s$. The main result in this subsection is Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $s \geq 0$ be a positive integer, $(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)$ be a smooth solution for the system* (2.2)*. There exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $C, C' > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int|\partial^\gamma\nabla\mathbf{u}^1|^2 \leq& -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1|^2\\ &+ C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,4}^2)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 + |||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2),\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$.

Proof. Let γ be a multiindex with $|\gamma| = s \geq 0$. Taking ∂^γ in the second equation of (2.2), we obtain

$$\partial_t\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1 + \partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1) + \partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0) + \varepsilon\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1) = -\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1.$$

Taking L^2 inner product with $-\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\mathbf{u}^1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int|\partial^\gamma\nabla\mathbf{u}^1|^2 =& -\varepsilon\int\partial_t\partial^\gamma\mathbf{u}^1\partial^\gamma\Delta\mathbf{u}^1\\ =&\varepsilon\int\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1\partial^\gamma\Delta\mathbf{u}^1 + \varepsilon^2\int\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1)\partial^\gamma\Delta\mathbf{u}^1\\ &+\varepsilon\int\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^0\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^1)\partial^\gamma\Delta\mathbf{u}^1 + \varepsilon\int\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0)\partial^\gamma\Delta\mathbf{u}^1\\ =&: I^\varepsilon + II^\varepsilon + III^\varepsilon + IV^\varepsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

- *Estimate of IV^ε.*

The term IV^ε can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}IV^\varepsilon =& -\varepsilon\int\partial^\gamma\nabla(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0)\partial^\gamma\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\\ =& -\varepsilon\int\left(\partial^\gamma(\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0) + \partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla^2\mathbf{u}^0)\right)\partial^\gamma\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\\ \leq& C\varepsilon(\|\partial^\gamma(\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla^2\mathbf{u}^0)\|_{L^2}^2) + C\varepsilon\|\partial^\gamma\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^2}^2\\ \leq& C(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2),\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

where we have used the commutator estimates (A.1), the Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ when $d \leq 3$ and the fact that n^0 and $\mathbf{u}^0$ are known smooth solutions of the Euler equation (1.2) by Theorem 1.1.

- *Estimate of* III^ε.

By integration by parts, the third term III^ε can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
III^\varepsilon =&\varepsilon \int \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \mathbf{u}^1 \partial^\gamma \Delta \mathbf{u}^1 + \varepsilon \int [\partial^\gamma, \mathbf{u}^0] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 \partial^\gamma \Delta \mathbf{u}^1 \\
=&\varepsilon \int \sum_{i,j,k} u_i^0 \partial_i \partial^\gamma u_k^1 \partial^\gamma \partial_j \partial_j u_k^1 + \varepsilon \int \sum_{\beta=1}^{\gamma} C_\gamma^\beta \partial^\beta \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \partial^{\gamma-\beta} \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 \cdot \partial^\gamma \Delta \mathbf{u}^1 \\
=&-2\varepsilon \int \sum_{i,j,k} \partial_j u_i^0 \partial_i \partial^\gamma u_k^1 \partial^\gamma \partial_j u_k^1 + \varepsilon \int \sum_{i,j,k} \partial_i u_i^0 \partial^\gamma \partial_j u_k^1 \partial^\gamma \partial_j u_k^1 \\
&- \varepsilon \int \sum_{\beta=1}^{\gamma} C_\gamma^\beta \partial^\beta \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \partial^{\gamma-\beta} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}^1 \cdot \partial^\gamma \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 - \varepsilon \int \sum_{\beta=1}^{\gamma} C_\gamma^\beta \partial^\beta \nabla \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \partial^{\gamma-\beta} \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 \cdot \partial^\gamma \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 \\
=&: III_1^\varepsilon + III_2^\varepsilon + III_3^\varepsilon + III_4^\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u}^0 = (u_1^0, \cdots, u_d^0)$ and $\mathbf{u}^1 = (u_1^1, \cdots, u_d^1)$ and we have used integration by parts twice in the third equality. For III_1^ε, III_2^ε and III_3^ε, we easily obtain

$$|III_1^\varepsilon, III_2^\varepsilon, III_3^\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2.$$

For III_4^ε, by Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$|III_4^\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s} \leq C(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2).$$

Summing them up, we obtain

$$|III^\varepsilon| \leq C(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2). \tag{2.42}$$

- *Estimate of* II^ε.

For the second term II^ε, by integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II^\varepsilon =&\varepsilon^2 \int \mathbf{u}^1 \cdot \partial^\gamma \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 \partial^\gamma \Delta \mathbf{u}^1 + \varepsilon^2 \int [\partial^\gamma, \mathbf{u}^1] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 \partial^\gamma \Delta \mathbf{u}^1 \\
=&-\varepsilon^2 \int \sum_{i,j,k} \partial_j u_i^1 \partial^\gamma \partial_i u_k^1 \partial^\gamma \partial_j u_k^1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int \sum_{i,j,k} \partial_i u_i^1 \partial^\gamma \partial_j u_k^1 \partial^\gamma \partial_j u_k^1 \\
&- \varepsilon^2 \int [\partial^\gamma, \nabla \mathbf{u}^1] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 \cdot \partial^\gamma \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 - \varepsilon^2 \int [\partial^\gamma, \mathbf{u}^1] \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}^1 \cdot \partial^\gamma \nabla \mathbf{u}^1 =: \sum_{i=1}^4 II_i^\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality, II_1^ε and II_2^ε are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_1^\varepsilon, II_2^\varepsilon| \leq& C(\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty})(\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2) \\
\leq& C(\varepsilon \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3})(\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By commutator estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\partial^\gamma, \nabla \mathbf{u}^1] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^2} \leq& C(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty}) \\
\leq& C\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^4} (\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|II_3^\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^4} (\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2).$$

Similarly, by commutator estimates

$$\begin{aligned}|II_4^\varepsilon| \leq& C\varepsilon^2(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}\\ \leq& C\varepsilon\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^4}(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Summing them up, we obtain

$$|II^\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^4}(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2). \tag{2.43}$$

- *Estimate of I^ε.*

In the following, we treat the first term I^ε in (2.40). By integration by parts thrice, we obtain

$$I^\varepsilon = -\varepsilon\int \partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\partial^\gamma\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1.$$

By using (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I^\varepsilon =& \int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\partial_t\partial^\gamma n^1 + \int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\cdot\nabla n^1)\\ &+\int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}[\partial^\gamma, n^\varepsilon]\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1 + \int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\partial^\gamma(\mathbf{u}^1\cdot\nabla n^0)\\ &+\int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\partial^\gamma(n^1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}^0) =: \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i^\varepsilon.\end{aligned}\tag{2.44}$$

In the following, we estimate $I_3^\varepsilon \sim I_5^\varepsilon$ while leaving the estimates of I_1^ε and I_2^ε to the next two lemmas. For I_3^ε, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_3^\varepsilon \leq& C\varepsilon\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\|_{L^2}(\|\nabla(n^0+\varepsilon n^1)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|n^0+\varepsilon n^1\|_{H^s}\|\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty})\\ \leq& C\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2 + C\varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|_{H^3}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2)(\|n^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the commutator estimates (A.1) and the fact that $n^0 + \varepsilon n^1$ are bounded from above and below by positive numbers when $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ is small enough in (2.5). Recalling Lemma 2.2 and the definition of the triple norm (1.6), we obtain

$$I_3^\varepsilon \leq C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2.$$

For I_4^ε, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_4^\varepsilon \leq& C\varepsilon\|\Delta\phi^1\|_{H^s}(\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n^0\|_{H^s} + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}\|\nabla n^0\|_{L^\infty})\\ \leq& C\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, I_5^ε can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}I_5^\varepsilon \leq& C\varepsilon\|\Delta\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|n^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C\|n^1\|_{H^2}^2\\ \leq& C(1+|||\phi|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2) + C\|n^1\|_{H^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma 2.2. Summarizing, we obtain from (2.44)

$$\begin{aligned}I^\varepsilon \leq& I_1^\varepsilon + I_2^\varepsilon + C\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2 + C\|n^1\|_{H^2}^2\\ &+ C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2),\end{aligned}\tag{2.45}$$

where I_1^ε and I_2^ε will be treated in the next two lemmas. □

Lemma 2.9. *The term I_2^ε in (2.44) can be estimated as*

$$I_2^\varepsilon \leq C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2) + |||\phi^1|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2,$$

for all $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_1$ for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$.

Proof. Recall that I_2^ε is given by (2.44). By integration by parts, it can be rewritten as

$$I_2^\varepsilon = \int \frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\cdot\nabla\partial^\gamma n^1 + \int \frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}[\partial^\gamma,\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon]\cdot\nabla n^1 =: I_{21}^\varepsilon + I_{22}^\varepsilon.$$

- *Estimate of I_{22}^ε.*

For the second term I_{22}^ε, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22}^\varepsilon \leq & C\varepsilon\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\|_{L^2}\|[\partial^\gamma,\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon]\cdot\nabla n^1\|_{L^2} \\ \leq & C\varepsilon\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\|_{L^2}(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{H^s}\|\nabla n^1\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \leq & C\varepsilon\|\Delta\phi^1\|_{H^s}(\|n^1\|_{H^s} + \|n^1\|_{H^3} + \varepsilon\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}\|n^1\|_{H^s} + \varepsilon\|n^1\|_{H^3}\|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^s}) \\ \leq & C\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 + C(\|n^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \|n^1\|_{H^3}^2 + \varepsilon^2\|(\mathbf{u}^1,n^1)\|_{H^3}^2(\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{H^s}^2)) \\ \leq & C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2) + |||\phi^1|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

thanks to the commutator estimates (A.1) in the second step, Lemma 2.2 in the last step and the definition of the triple norm in (1.6).

- *Estimate of I_{21}^ε.*

In the rest of this lemma, we focus us on the treatment of I_{21}^ε. Recalling the remainder equation (2.2c) (see also (2.22)), I_{21}^ε can be divided into

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21}^\varepsilon = & \int \frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\cdot\nabla\partial^\gamma(n^0\phi^1) - \varepsilon^2\int\frac{\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla\Delta\phi^1 \\ & - \int \frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla\Delta\phi^0 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\cdot\partial^\gamma\nabla R^1 =: \sum_{i=1}^4 I_{21i}^\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

In the following, we estimates the four terms on the RHS of (2.47). For the first term I_{211}^ε, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{211}^\varepsilon = & \int \frac{\varepsilon n^0\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\cdot\nabla\partial^\gamma\phi^1 + \int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\cdot[\partial^\gamma,n^0]\nabla\phi^1 \\ = & \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{n^0\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon}{n^\varepsilon}\right)|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2 - \varepsilon\int\sum_{i,j}\partial_i\left(\frac{n^0u_j^\varepsilon}{n^\varepsilon}\right)\partial^\gamma\partial_i\phi^1\partial^\gamma\partial_j\phi^1 \\ & + \int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\cdot[\partial^\gamma,n^0]\nabla\phi^1 =: I_{2111}^\varepsilon + I_{2112}^\varepsilon + I_{2113}^\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

where $u_j^\varepsilon = (\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon)_j = u_j^0 + \varepsilon u_j^1$. By using Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$,

$$\|\nabla\left(\frac{n^0\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon}{n^\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C + C\varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|_{H^3}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2),$$

which yields for the first two terms on the RHS of (2.48)

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{2111}^\varepsilon, I_{2112}^\varepsilon| \leq & C\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon^2(\|n^1\|_{H^3}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^1\|_{H^3}^2))\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{H^s}^2 \\ \leq & C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)|||\phi^1|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the term I_{2113}^ε, by the commutator estimates (A.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\partial^\gamma,n^0]\nabla\phi^1\|_{L^2} \leq & C(\|\nabla n^0\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|n^0\|_{H^s}\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \leq & C(\|\phi^1\|_{H^3} + \|\phi^1\|_{H^s}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that by Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}I^\varepsilon_{2113} \le& C\|\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)\|_{L^2}\|[\partial^\gamma, n^0]\nabla\phi^1\|_{L^2}\\ \le& C(\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^3}+\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^s})+C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{L^\infty})(\varepsilon^2\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{L^2})\\ \le& C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{H^2})|||\phi^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}+C\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^3},\end{aligned}$$

thanks to (2.5), the Sobolev embedding $H^2\hookrightarrow L^\infty$ and the definition of the triple norm (1.6).

For the first term I_{212}, by integration by parts and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I^\varepsilon_{212}|=&|\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\int\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\right)|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1|^2|\\ \le& C(1+\varepsilon^2\|(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1)\|^2_{H^3})\cdot\varepsilon^2\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{L^2}\\ \le& C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3})|||\phi^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}.\end{aligned}$$

For the third term I^ε_{213}, by Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I^\varepsilon_{213}|\le&\|\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1(\mathbf{u}^0+\varepsilon\mathbf{u}^1)}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\|_{L^2}\|\partial^\gamma\nabla\Delta\phi^0\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\|\partial^\gamma\nabla\Delta\phi^0\|^2_{L^2}+C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{L^\infty})(\varepsilon^2\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{L^2})\\ \le& C+C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{H^2})|||\phi^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}.\end{aligned}$$

For the fourth term I^ε_{214}, we have by Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}I^\varepsilon_{214}\le& C\|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial^\gamma\nabla R^1\|^2_{L^2}+C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{L^\infty})(\varepsilon^2\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^s})\\ \le& C\varepsilon(\|\nabla\phi^1\|^2_{H^s}+\|\phi^1\|^2)+C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{H^2})(\varepsilon^2\|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{L^2})\\ \le& C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\mathbf{u}^1\|^2_{H^2})|||\phi^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,s},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1 with $|\alpha|=s+1$ there and the fact that $\|\phi^1\|_{H^{s+1}}\approx\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{H^s}+\|\phi^1\|_{H^s}$. Summarizing, we obtain

$$I^\varepsilon_{21}\le C+C\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^3}+C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3})|||\phi^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}. \tag{2.49}$$

Putting (2.46) and (2.49) together, we end the proof of Lemma 2.9. □

Lemma 2.10. *The term* I^ε_1 *in* (2.44) *is bounded by*

$$\begin{aligned}I^\varepsilon_1\le&-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int(\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon})|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1|^2\\ &+C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,4})(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

for all $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_1$*, for some* $\varepsilon_1>0$*.*

Proof. Recall that I^ε_1 is given in (2.44). From the remainder equation (2.2c) (see also (2.29)), I^ε_1 can be divided into the following

$$\begin{aligned}I^\varepsilon_1=&-\varepsilon\int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma\partial_t\Delta\phi^1+\int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma\partial_t(n^0\phi^1)\\ &+\int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma\partial_t\Delta\phi^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int\frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma\partial_t R^1=:\sum_{i=1}^4 I^\varepsilon_{1i}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

In the following, we will estimates the RHS terms one by one.

- *Estimate of* I^ε_{11}.

For the first term I_{11}^{ε}, we have

$$I_{11}^{\varepsilon} = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}|\partial^\gamma \Delta\phi^1|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\int \partial_t(\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1|^2.$$

Using Lemma 2.3 and Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\|\partial_t(\frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\|_{L^\infty} \le C(1+\varepsilon\|\partial_t n^1\|_{L^\infty}) \le C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2),$$

which yields that

$$I_{11}^{\varepsilon} \le -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \frac{1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}|\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1|^2 + C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)|||\phi^1|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2. \tag{2.52}$$

- *Estimate of I_{12}^{ε}.*

For the second term I_{12}^{ε}, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12}^{\varepsilon} =& \int \frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma(\partial_t n^0\phi^1) + \int \frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma(n^0\partial_t\phi^1) \\ =& \int \frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}\partial^\gamma(\partial_t n^0\phi^1) + \int \frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}n^0\partial_t\partial^\gamma\phi^1 + \int \frac{\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\Delta\phi^1}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1}[\partial^\gamma, n^0]\partial_t\phi^1 \\ =:& \sum_{i=1}^{3} I_{12i}^{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

For the first term I_{121}^{ε}, by the multiplicative estimates (A.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\gamma(\partial_t n^0\phi^1)\|_{L^2} \le& C(\|\partial_t n^0\|_{L^\infty}\|\phi^1\|_{H^s} + \|\partial_t n^0\|_{H^s}\|\phi^1\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \le& C(\|\phi^1\|_{H^3} + \|\phi^1\|_{H^s}), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_{121}^{\varepsilon} \le& C(\|\phi^1\|_{H^3} + \|\phi^1\|_{H^s})\|\varepsilon\Delta\phi^1\|_{H^s} \\ \le& C(\|\phi^1\|_{H^3}^2 + |||\phi^1|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term I_{122}^{ε}, we have by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{122}^{\varepsilon} =& -\int \nabla(\frac{n^0}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1\partial_t\partial^\gamma\phi^1 - \int(\frac{n^0}{n^0+\varepsilon n^1})\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1\partial_t\nabla\partial^\gamma\phi^1 \\ =& \int \nabla(\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon})\varepsilon\partial^{\gamma+\gamma_1}\nabla\phi^1\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1 + \int \nabla\partial^{\gamma_1}(\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon})\varepsilon\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1 \\ & -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int \partial_t(\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon})|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2 =: I_{122i}^{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_1 \le \gamma$ is a multiindex with $|\gamma_1| = 1$. By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1\|_{L^2}^2 \le& C(\|\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}n^1\|^2 + \|\phi^1\|_{H^{s-1}}^2) \\ \le& C(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_1 \le \gamma$, $|\gamma_1| = 1$ and hence $|\gamma-\gamma_1| = s-1$. On the other hand, by direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon})\|_{L^\infty} \le& C(1+\varepsilon\|\nabla n^1\|_{L^\infty}) \le C(1+\varepsilon^2|||\phi^1|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2), \\ \|\nabla\partial^{\gamma_1}(\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon})\|_{L^\infty} \le& C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\nabla n^1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\partial^{\gamma_1}\phi^1\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \le& C(1+\varepsilon^2|||\phi^1|||_{\varepsilon,4}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.2 and Sobolev embedding. Hence, by Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I^\varepsilon_{1221}, I^\varepsilon_{1222} \le& C\|\nabla(\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon})\|_{L^\infty}\|\varepsilon\partial^{\gamma+\gamma_1}\nabla\phi^1\|_{L^2}\|\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1\|_{L^2}\\ &+ C\|\nabla\partial^{\gamma_1}(\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon})\|_{L^\infty}\|\varepsilon\partial^{\gamma}\nabla\phi^1\|_{L^2}\|\partial_t\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\phi^1\|_{L^2}\\ \le& C(1+\varepsilon^2|||\phi^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,4})(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the boundedness of the Riesz operator. Similarly,

$$\|\partial_t(\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon})\|_{L^\infty} \le C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\partial_t n^1\|^2_{L^\infty}) \le C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3}),$$

which yields that

$$I^\varepsilon_{1224} \le C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3})|||\phi^1|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}.$$

Therefore, I^ε_{122} is bounded by

$$I^\varepsilon_{122} \le -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2 + C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,4})(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}).$$

For the third term I^ε_{123}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I^\varepsilon_{123} \le& C\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^s} + C\|[\partial^\gamma, n^0]\partial_t\phi^1\|^2_{L^2}\\ \le& C\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^s} + C(\|\nabla n^0\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\partial_t\phi^1\|^2_{H^{s-1}} + \|\partial_t\phi^1\|^2_{L^\infty}\|n^0\|^2_{H^s})\\ \le& C(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s} + |||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3}),\end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma A.1 in the second inequality and Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.3 in the last inequality. In summary, I^ε_{12} can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}I^\varepsilon_{12} \le& -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{n^0}{n^\varepsilon}|\partial^\gamma\nabla\phi^1|^2\\ &+ C(1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,4})(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s} + |||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,3}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

- *Estimate of I^ε_{13}.*

For the third term I^ε_{13}, it is straightforward that

$$I^\varepsilon_{13} \le C(1+\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^s}). \tag{2.54}$$

- *Estimate of I^ε_{14}.*

For the fourth term I^ε_{14}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I^\varepsilon_{14}| \le& C\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^s} + C\varepsilon\|\partial_t R^1\|^2_{H^s}\\ \le& C\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^s} + C_1(\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^s} + \varepsilon\|\partial_t\phi^1\|^2_{H^s})\\ \le& C\varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1\|^2_{H^s} + C_1(\|\phi^1\|^2_{H^s} + \|\partial_t n^1\|^2_{H^{s-1}})\\ \le& C(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||^2_{\varepsilon,s}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

where we have used Hölder inequality in the first inequality, Lemma 2.1 in the second inequality, Lemma 2.4 in the third inequality and Lemma 2.3 in the last inequality. Here, we also have used the fact that $\|\partial_t\phi^1\|_{H^s} \approx \|\partial_t\phi^1\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\partial_t\partial^\alpha\nabla\phi^1\|_{L^2}$ with $|\gamma| = s-1$ and $\|\phi^1\|_{H^{s-1}} \le \|\phi^1\|_{H^s}$ for all integers $s > 0$.

By (2.51), using (2.52), (2.53), (2.54) and (2.55), we obtain the estimate (2.50) for I^ε. □

Now, we can end the proof of Lemma 2.8.

End of proof of Lemma 2.8. By using (2.40), the estimates of (2.41), (2.42), (2.43) and (2.45), and Lemma 2.9 and 2.10, we close the proof of Lemma 2.8. ☐

Summarizing these lemmas, we obtain the following

Proposition 2.2. *Let $s \geq 0$ be a positive integer, $(n^1, \mathbf{u}^1, \phi^1)$ be a smooth solution for the system* (2.2)*. There exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $C, C' > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon\|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &\quad\leq C'(\varepsilon\|\nabla \mathbf{u}^1(0)\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\phi^1(0)\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon^2\|\Delta\phi^1(0)\|_{H^s}^2) \\ &\qquad + C\int_0^t (1+\varepsilon^2|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,4}^2)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 + |||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,3}^2)d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

where C' depends only on σ' and σ''.

Proof. This is shown by integrating (2.39) over $[0,t]$ and summing them up for $|\gamma| \leq s$, and then using $\sigma' < n^0 < \sigma''$ and $\sigma'/2 < n^\varepsilon < 2\sigma''$ for any $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$ in (1.4) and (2.5) for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$. ☐

2.5. **End of proof of Theorem 1.3.**

Now, we are in a good position to end the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof. Let $s \geq 7$ be an integer. By Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 and recalling the definition of the norm (1.6), we obtain the following Gronwall type inequality

$$\begin{aligned}|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)(t)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 \leq& C'C_\varepsilon(0) \\ &+ C\int_0^t (1+\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,5}^3)(1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2)d\tau,\end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

where $C_\varepsilon(0) = |||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)(0)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2$ and we have used the fact that $|||\cdot|||_{\varepsilon,3} \leq |||\cdot|||_{\varepsilon,s}$ for $s \geq 3$. From (2.4), there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon^3|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,5}^3 \leq 1$, and hence (2.57) yields

$$|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)(t)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 \leq C_2C_\varepsilon(0) + C_2\int_0^t (1+|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2)d\tau, \tag{2.58}$$

where $C_2 = \max\{C', 2C\}$. On the other hand, from Lemma 2.2, there exists constant $C_3 > 1$ such that

$$\|n^1(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C_3(1+|||\phi^1|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2). \tag{2.59}$$

Let $C_0 = \sup_{0<\varepsilon<1} C_\varepsilon(0)$. We let $\tilde{C}$ in (2.4) satisfy $\tilde{C} \geq 2C_3(1+C_2C_0)e^{C_2T_\varepsilon}$, then from (2.58)

$$|||(\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}^2 \leq (1+C_2C_0)e^{C_2T_\varepsilon} \leq \tilde{C},$$

and hence from (2.59)

$$\|n^1(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C_3(1+(1+C_2C_0)e^{C_2T_\varepsilon}) \leq \tilde{C}.$$

Then by the continuity principle, it is standard to get the uniform in ε estimates for $|||(n^1,\mathbf{u}^1,\phi^1)|||_{\varepsilon,s}$. In particular, for every $T' < T$, $\varepsilon^{-1}(n^\varepsilon - n^0)$ and $\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}^0)$ are bounded in $L^\infty([0,T']; H^s)$ and $L^\infty([0,T']; \mathbf{H}^s)$, respectively, uniformly in ε for ε small enough for some $s < s'$. ☐

Appendix A. Commutator estimates

We give two important inequalities which are widely used throughout this paper [11, Lemma X1 and Lemma X4].

Lemma A.1. *Let α be any multi-index with $|\alpha| = k$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then there exists some constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha (fg)\|_{L^p} \le & C\{\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{k,p_2}} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{k,p_3}}\|g\|_{L^{p_4}}\}, \\ \|[\partial_x^\alpha, f]g\|_{L^p} \le & C\{\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{k-1,p_2}} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{k,p_3}}\|g\|_{L^{p_4}}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$, the Schwartz class and $p_2, p_3 \in (1, +\infty)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4}.$$

References

[1] Y. Brenier, Convergence of the Vlasov-Poisson system to the incompressible Euler equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 25(3-4), (2000)737-754.

[2] S. Cordier and E. Grenier, Quasineutral limit of an Euler-Poisson system arising from plasma physics, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35(5&6), (2000)1099-1113.

[3] P. Degond, H. Liu, D. Savelief and M-H. Vignal, Numerical approximation of the Euler-Poisson-Boltzmann model in the quasineutral limit. arXiv: 1010.5967v1.

[4] D. Gerard-Varet, D. Han-kwan and F. Rousset, Quasineutral limit of the Euler-Poisson system for ions in a domain with boundaries. arXiv:1112.0164v1.

[5] E. Grenier, Oscillatory perturbations of the Navier-Stokes equation. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 76, (1997)477-498.

[6] E. Grenier, Pseudo-differential energy estimates of singular perturbations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 50(9), (1997)821-865.

[7] Y. Guo, A.D. Ionescu and B. Pausader, Global solutions of the Euler-Maxwell two-fluid system in 3D. arXiv: 1303.1060v1.

[8] Y. Guo and B. Pausader, Global smooth ion dynamics in the Euler-Poisson system, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 303,(2011)89-125.

[9] Y. Guo and X. Pu, KdV limit of the Euler-Poisson system. arXiv: 1202.1830.

[10] S. Jiang, Q. Ju, H. Li and Y. Li, Quasi-neutral limit of the full bipolar Euler-Poisson system. *Sci. China Math.* 53(2010), no. 12, 3099-3114.

[11] T. Kato and G. Ponce, Commutator estimates and the Euler and navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41, (1988)891-907.

[12] N. Krall and A. Trivelpiece, *Principles of plasma physics*, San Francisco Press, 1986.

[13] G. Loeper, Quasi-neutral limit of the Euler-Poisson and Euler-Monge-Ampere systems, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 30, (2005)1141-1167.

[14] A. Majda, *Compressible fluid flow and systems of conservation laws in several space variables*, Applied Mathematial Sciences, 53, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1984.

[15] Y. Peng, S. Wang, Convergence of compressible Euler-Maxwell equations to incompressible Euler equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 33, (2008)349-376.

[16] X. Pu, Dispersive limit of the Euler-Poisson system in higher dimensions. To appear in *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*.

[17] M. Slemrod and N. Sternberg, Quasi-neutral limit for the Euler-Poisson system, *J. Nonlinear Sciences*, 11, (2001)193-209.

[18] E. Shlomo, H. Liu and E. Tadmor, Critical thresholds in Euler-Poisson equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 50, (2001)109-157.

[19] E.M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

[20] S. Wang, Quasineutral limit of Euler-Poisson system with and without viscosity. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 29(2004), no. 3-4, 419-456.

[21] S. Wang and S. Jiang, The convergence of the navier-Stokes-Poisson system to the incompressible Euler equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 31(2006), no. 4, 571-591.

[22] H. Washimi and T. Taniuti, Propagation of ion-acoustic solitary waves of small amplitude. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 17, (1966)996-998.

Xueke Pu
Department of Mathematics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, P.R. China; Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Chongqing.
E-mail address: xuekepu@cqu.edu.cn

Boling Guo
Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P.O. Box 8009, Beijing, China, 100088.
E-mail address: gbl@iapcm.ac.cn